



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/740,530	12/18/2000	Michael S. Floyd	AUS920000710US1 (9000/4)	8384
7590	02/03/2004		EXAMINER MCCARTHY, CHRISTOPHER S	
Frank C. Nicholas CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, IL 60201			ART UNIT 2113	PAPER NUMBER 7
DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/740,530

Applicant(s)

FLOYD ET AL.

Examiner

Christopher S. McCarthy

Art Unit

2113

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 December 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 40 and 43 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 7, 8, 11-14, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 26-29, 31-33, 36, 37, 39, 41 and 42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5, 6, 9, 10, 15-16, 19-20, 23, 25, 30, 34-35, 38 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 34 is objected to because of the following informalities: paragraph 1 recites "operating sate". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-4, 7-8, 11-14, 17-18, 21-22, 24, 26-29, 31-33, 36-37, 39, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyamori et al U.S. Patent 5,978,937.

As per claim 1, Miyamori teaches a method for transitioning a debugging unit between a plurality of operating states, comprising defining a first set of operating instructions to be processed by a processor core; defining a first triggering instruction to provide a first signal to the debugging unit whereby the debugging unit is operable to transition from a first operating state to a second operating state; and embedding said first triggering instruction within said first set of operating instructions (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20), wherein, the triggering instruction is inclusive in the executed program stream as used in Miyamori.

As per claim 2, Miyamori teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising of coding said first set of operating instructions within a computer readable medium, said coded first set of operating instructions including a coded first triggering instruction; operating said computer

Art Unit: 2113

readable medium to provide an instruction stream to a processor core, said instruction stream representative of said coded first set of operating instructions, said instruction stream including a second signal representative of said coded first triggering instruction; and operating said processor core to provide said first signal to the debugging unit in response to said second signal to thereby transition the debugging unit from the first operating state to the second operating state (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20), wherein it is inherent that a computer coded language is used in the programming of the Miyamori invention. Also, the signals of the present claim are interpreted as the same in the Miyamori, wherein a signal is inherent in the program instructions executed by the core and another signal is present in the instruction from the core to the debugging unit.

As per claim 3, Miyamori teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising of defining a second triggering instruction to provide a second signal to the debugging unit whereby the debugging unit is operable to transition from the second operating state to the first operating state; and embedding said second triggering instruction within said first set of operating instructions (column 6, lines 17-20, 52-54), wherein, the debugger is transitioned from the second state to the first state when the reset instruction (signal) is executed.

As per claim 4, Miyamori teaches the method of claim 3, further comprising of coding said first set of operating instructions within a computer readable medium, said coded first set of operating instructions including a coded first triggering instruction and a coded second triggering instruction; operating said computer readable medium to provide an instruction stream to a processor core, said instruction stream representative of said coded first set of operating instructions, said instruction stream including a third signal representative of said coded first

Art Unit: 2113

triggering instruction and a fourth signal representative of said coded second triggering instruction; operating said processor core to provide said first signal to the debugging unit in response to said third signal to thereby transition the debugging unit from the first operating state to the second operating state; and subsequent to providing said first signal to the debugging unit, operating said processor core to provide said second signal to the debugging unit in response to said fourth signal to thereby transition the debugging unit from the second operating state to the first operating state (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 6, lines 17-20, 52-54), wherein it is inherent that a computer coded language is used in the programming of the Miyamori invention. Also, the signals of the present claim are interpreted as the same in Miyamori, wherein a signal is inherent in the program instructions executed by the core and another signal is present from the core to the debugging unit.

As per claim 7, Miyamori teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising of defining a second set of operating instructions to generate a first data or a second data; and embedding said second set of operating instructions within said first set of operating instructions (column 6, lines 17-20).

As per claim 8, Miyamori teaches the method of claim 7, further comprising of coding said first set of operating instructions within a computer readable medium, said first set of operating instructions including a coded first triggering instruction and a coded second set of operating instructions; operating said computer readable medium to provide an instruction stream to a processor core, said instruction stream representative of said coded first set of operating instructions, said instruction stream including a second signal representative of said coded first triggering instruction and a set of signals representative of said coded second set of

Art Unit: 2113

operating instructions; operating said processor core to generate said first data or said second data in response to said set of signals; and subsequent to a generation of said first data by said processor core, operating said processor core to provide said first signal to the debugging unit in response to said second signal to thereby transition the debugging unit from the first operating state to the second operating state (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 6, lines 17-20, 52-54), wherein it is inherent that a computer coded language is used in the programming of the Miyamori invention. Also, the signals of the present claim are interpreted as the same in Miyamori, wherein a signal is inherent in the program instructions executed by the core and another signal is present from the core to the debugging unit.

As per claim 11, Miyamori teaches a microprocessor, comprising a debugging unit operable to transition from a first operating state to a second operating state in response to a first signal; and a processor core operable to fetch an instruction stream including a second signal representative of a first triggering instruction to transition said debugging unit from said first operating state to said second operating state, said processor core further operable to provide said first signal to said debugging unit in response to said second signal (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20).

As per claim 12, Miyamori teaches the microprocessor of claim 11, wherein said processor core includes a register operable to provide said first signal to said debugging unit in response to a third signal including an address of said register (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 7, lines 13-23, 39-46).

As per claim 13, Miyamori teaches the microprocessor of claim 11, wherein said debugging unit is further operable to transition from said second operating state to said first

Art Unit: 2113

operating state in response to a third signal; said instruction stream further includes a fourth signal representative of a second triggering instruction to transition said debugging unit from said second operating state to said first operating state; and said processor core is further operable to provide said third signal to said debugging unit in response to said fourth signal (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 6, lines 17-20, 52-54),), wherein, the debugger is transitioned from the second state to the first state when the reset instruction (signal) is executed.

As per claim 14, Miyamori teaches the microprocessor of claim 13, wherein said processor core includes a first register operable to provide said first signal to said debugging unit in response to a fifth signal including an address of said first register; and a second register operable to provide said third signal to said debugging unit in response to a sixth signal including an address of said second register (column 7, lines 13-23, 39-46), wherein, Miyamori teaches multiple control registers in the processor for exception addresses pertaining to the debugger.

As per claim 17, Miyamori teaches the microprocessor of claim 11, wherein said instruction stream further includes a set of signals representative of a set of operating instructions to operate said processor core to generate a first data or a second data; said processor core is further operable to generate said first data or said second data in response to said set of signals; and subsequent to a generation of said trigger data, said processor core is further operable to provide said first signal to said debugging unit in response to said second signal (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 6, lines 17-20). Also, the signals of the present claim are interpreted as the same in Miyamori, wherein a signal is inherent in the program instructions executed by the core and another signal is present from the core to the debugging unit.

As per claim 18, Miyamori teaches the microprocessor of claim 17, wherein said processor core includes a register operable to provide said second signal to said debugging unit in response to a third signal including an address of said register and a fourth signal including said first data (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 7, lines 13-23, 39-46).

As per claim 21, Miyamori teaches a computer readable medium storing a program for transitioning a debugging unit between a plurality of operating states, comprising of a first computer readable code to operate a processor core; and a second computer readable code to transition the debugging unit from a first operating state to a second operating state, said second computer readable code embedded within said first computer readable code (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20), wherein it is inherent that a computer coded language is used in the programming of the Miyamori invention.

As per claim 22, Miyamori teaches the computer readable medium of claim 21, further comprising of a third computer readable code to transition the debugging unit from said second operating state to said first operating state, said third computer readable code embedded within said first computer readable code (column 6, lines 17-20, 52-54).

As per claim 24, Miyamori teaches the computer readable medium of claim 21, further comprising a third computer readable code to operate said processor core to generate a first data or a second data, said third computer readable code embedded within said first computer readable code, wherein said second computer readable code is to transition the debugging unit from said first operating state to said second operating state in response to a generation of said first data (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 6, lines 17-20).

As per claim 26, Miyamori teaches a system for transitioning a debugging unit between a plurality of operating states, comprising of a computer readable medium including a first computer readable code to transition the debugging unit from a first operating state to a second operating state, said computer readable medium operable to provide a first signal representative of said first computer readable code; and a processor core operable to provide a second signal to the debugging unit in response to said first signal whereby the debugging unit is operable to transition from the first operating state to the second operating state (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20), wherein it is inherent that a computer coded language is used in the programming of the Miyamori invention.

As per claim 27, Miyamori teaches the system of claim 26, wherein said processor core includes a register operable to provide said second signal in response to a third signal including an address of said register (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 7, lines 13-23, 39-46).

As per claim 28, Miyamori teaches the system of claim 27, wherein said computer readable medium further includes a second computer readable code to transition the debugging unit from the second operating state to the first operating state, said computer readable medium operable to provide a third signal representative of said second computer readable code; and said processor core is further operable to provide a fourth signal to the debugging unit in response to said third signal whereby the debugging unit is operable to transition from the second operating state to the first operating state (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 6, lines 17-20, 52-54).

As per claim 29, Miyamori teaches the system of claim 28, wherein said processor core includes a first register operable to provide said second signal to the debugging unit in response

to a fifth signal including an address of said first register; and a second register operable to provide said fourth signal to the debugging unit in response to a sixth signal including an address of said second register (column 7, lines 13-23, 39-46), wherein, Miyamori teaches multiple control registers in the processor for exception addresses pertaining to the debugger.

As per claim 31, Miyamori teaches the system of claim 30, wherein said processor core includes a first register operable to provide said second signal to the debugging unit in response to a fifth signal including an address of said first register; and a second register operable to provide said fourth signal to the debugging unit in response to a sixth signal including an address of said second register (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20), wherein, Miyamori teaches multiple control registers in the processor for exception addresses pertaining to the debugger.

As per claim 32, Miyamori teaches the system of claim 27, wherein said computer readable medium further includes a second computer readable code to operate said processor core to generate a first trigger data or a second trigger data, said computer readable medium operable to provide a set of signals representative of said second computer readable code; said processor core is further operable to selectively generate said trigger data in response to said set of signals; and subsequent to a generation of said first data, said processor core is operable to provide said first signal to the debugging unit in response to said second signal (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20).

As per claim 33, Miyamori teaches the system of claim 32, wherein said processor core includes a register operable to provide said second signal to the debugging unit in response to a third signal including an address of said register and a fourth signal including said trigger data (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20; column 7, lines 39-46).

As per claim 36, Miyamori teaches a method for transitioning a debugging unit between a plurality of operating states, comprising of receiving a first signal representative of a first triggering instruction to transition the debugging unit from a first operating state to a second operating state; and processing said first signal to thereby transition the debugging unit from said first operating state to said second operating state (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20).

As per claim 37, Miyamori teaches the method of claim 36, receiving a second signal representative of a second triggering instruction to transition the debugging unit from said second operating state to said first operating state; and processing said second signal to thereby transition the debugging unit from said second operating state to said first operating state (column 6, lines 17-20, 52-54).

As per claim 39, Miyamori teaches a method for transitioning a debugging unit between a plurality of operating states, comprising of receiving a set of operating signals representative of a set of operating instructions to generate a first data or a second data; receiving a trigger instruction signal representative of a triggering instruction to transition the debugging unit from a first operating state to a second operating state in response to a generation of said first data; and
10 processing said set of operating signals and said trigger instruction signal to thereby transition the debugging unit from said first operating state to said second operating state in response to a generation of said first data (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20).

As per claim 41, Miyamori teaches a method, comprising of providing a computer readable medium operable to provide a first signal representative of a first triggering instruction to transition a debugging unit from a first operating state to a second operating state; providing a processor core operable to provide a second signal in response to said first signal; and providing

Art Unit: 2113

a debugging unit operable to transition from said first operating state to said second operating state in response to said second signal (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20).

As per claim 42, Miyamori teaches a method, comprising: providing a computer readable medium operable to provide a set of operating signals representative of a set of operating instructions to generate a first data or a second data, and a trigger instruction signal representative of a triggering instruction to transition a debugging unit from a first operating state to a second operating; providing a processor core operable to generate said first data or said second data in response to said set of operating signals, and to provide a triggering signal subsequent to a generation of said first data in response to said trigger instruction signal; and providing a debugging unit operable to transition from said first operating state to said second operating state in response to said triggering signal (column 5, line 54 – column 6, line 20).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 40, and 43 are allowed.
5. Claims 5, 6, 9, 10, 15-16, 19-20, 23, 25, 30, 34-35, 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for Allowance

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: When read as a whole, claims 40 and 43 are allowable with respect to the following limitations:

As per claim 40, the primary reason for allowance is the limitation to transition the debugging unit from said first operating state to a third operating state in response to a generation of said second data.

As per claim 43, the primary reason for allowance is the limitation or to transition said debugging unit from said first operating state to a third operating state and to transition from said first operating state to said third operating state in response to said second triggering signal.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patent 6,668,339 to Maeda

U.S. Patent 5,621,886 to Alpert et al.

U.S. Patent 6,289,473 to Takase

U.S. Patent 6,615,370 to Edwards et al.

U.S. Patent Application Publication US2002/0194543 to Veenstra et al.

U.S. Patent Application Publication US2002/0152427 to Ok


Art Unit: 2113

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. McCarthy whose telephone number is (703)305-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703)305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

csm
January 25, 2004


ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100